		SECTION	NI: Claims I	Determined N	lot to Have	a Recognize	ed Claim					
	A	A. Claima	ant Sold All S		_	mmon Stoc	k for a Gain					
				(Notice ¶ 59	O(c)(iii))							
Disputed Claim No.	Name of Claimant(s) (Claim Number)	Claim Determination										
		Reason for	son for Rejection: No Recognized Claim.									
1	Brian D.		The	Claimant sold a	ll eligible shar	es of Wells Fa	rgo common sto	ock purchased	during the			
	Baltzell			s Period for a g	ain.							
	& Lynda H.		tailed Explanation:									
	Baltzell Joint											
	Ten WROS		otice ¶ 66. Specifically, "the purchase price minus the sale price" (see Notice ¶ 59(c)(iii)) is a negative									
	TOD (28016)		umber and, pursuant to ¶ 58 of the Notice, if a Recognized Loss Amount calculates to a negative number, that ecognized Loss Amount shall be zero. In response to the Notice of Deficient Proof of Claim Submission, the									
		Claimant in money" those contains to f written cor	Claimant requested Court review on the basis of the stated belief that the Claimant "lost quite a bit of money" The response the Claimant provided did not reflect or support any additional transactions beyond those contained in the original submission. Epiq contacted the Claimant by telephone twice and also sent three emails to further explain the reason for the rejection. The Claimant did not take or return Epiq's calls and the written correspondence received no response.  Transactional History:									
			Date of Transaction	Transaction <u>Type</u>	Number of Shares	Price per <u>Share</u>	Total (excluding commission)	Notice <u>Reference</u>				
			3/9/2016	Purchase	100	\$48.7358	\$4,873.58	¶ 59(c)(iii)	1			
			6/1/2016	Purchase	0.7371	\$50.5224	\$37.24	¶ 59(c)(iii)	]			
			9/1/2016	Purchase	0.7681	\$48.8334	\$37.51	¶ 59(c)(iii)	]			
			11/15/2016	Sale	101	\$51.9247	\$5,244.39	¶ 59(c)(iii)				
			12/19/2016	Unsold Holdings	0.5052			¶ 59(c)(iii)				

	B. All Shares of Wells Fargo Common Stock Were Purchased for \$48.96 Per Share or Less and Still Held as of Close of Trading on December 19, 2016  (Notice ¶ 59(d)(ii))											
Disputed Claim No.	Name of Claimant(s) (Claim Number)	Claim Determination										
2	Varant Majarian (45944)	Reason for Rejection: No Recognized Claim.  The Claimant purchased all shares for \$48.96 per share or less and held all shares of Wells Fargo common stock through December 19, 2016.  Detailed Explanation:  Because all shares purchased during the Class Period were purchased for \$48.96 or less and were held as of the close of trading on December 19, 2016, the Recognized Loss Amount is \$0.00. See Notice ¶ 59. Specifically, "the purchase price minus \$48.96" (see Notice ¶ 59(d)(ii)) is a negative number and pursuant to ¶ 58 of the Notice, if a Recognized Loss Amount calculates to a negative number, that Recognized Loss Amount shall be zero.  In response to the Notice of Deficient Proof of Claim Submission, the Claimant requested Court review on the basis of the stated belief that the Claimant "got a postcard stating I am part of the class action I am qualified" The response the Claimant provided did not reflect or support any additional transactions beyond those contained in the original submission.  Epiq contacted the Claimant by telephone and explained the deficiency on the Claim. The Claimant disconnected the call, then called back several times attempting to follow up on the original call. Epiq returned the call and explained the calculation of the Claim. The Claimant confirmed the transactions included with the Claim, but requested that the Court confirm whether Epiq has correctly applied the Plan of Allocation in relation to the rejection of the Claim.										

## <u>Transactional History</u>:

Date of Transaction	Transaction <u>Type</u>	Number of Shares	Price per <u>Share</u>	Total (excluding commission)	Notice Reference
2/26/2014	Beginning	934.38			
	Holdings				
6/21/2016	Purchase	2	\$47.0575	\$94.11	¶ 59(d)(ii)
6/24/2016	Purchase	2	\$45.6175	\$91.235	¶ 59(d)(ii)
6/28/2016	Purchase	2	\$45.8999	\$91.7998	¶ 59(d)(ii)
7/26/2016	Sale	6	\$47.8225	\$286.935	
9/12/2016	Purchase	1	\$48.3690	\$48.3690	¶ 59(d)(ii)
9/12/2016	Purchase	1	\$48.1200	\$48.12	¶ 59(d)(ii)
9/14/2016	Purchase	2	\$46.5875	\$93.175	¶ 59(d)(ii)
9/14/2016	Purchase	1	\$47.3175	\$47.3175	¶ 59(d)(ii)
9/14/2016	Purchase	1	\$47.3375	\$47.3375	¶ 59(d)(ii)
9/14/2016	Purchase	2	\$47.5175	\$95.035	¶ 59(d)(ii)
9/14/2016	Purchase	2	\$47.4075	\$94.815	¶ 59(d)(ii)
9/15/2016	Purchase	2	\$45.5675	\$91.135	¶ 59(d)(ii)
9/15/2016	Sale	17	\$45.6438	\$775.94	
12/19/2016	Unsold	0			¶ 59(d)(ii)
	Holdings				

Disputed Claim No.	Name of Claimant(s) (Claim Number)			Claim Deter	mination						
3	Louann Estes (34229)	Reason for Rejection	Reason for Rejection: No Recognized Claim.  The Claimant purchased all shares for \$48.96 per share or less and held all shares of Wells Fargo common stock through December 19, 2016.								
		close of trading on D "the purchase price Notice, if a Recogniz zero. In response to t The documentation t eligible transactions I voicemail. The Claim call. Epiq contacted t	ecause all shares purchased during the Class Period were purchased for \$48.96 or less and were held as of the lose of trading on December 19, 2016, the Recognized Loss Amount is \$0.00. See Notice ¶ 59. Specifically, the purchase price minus \$48.96" (see Notice ¶ 59(d)(ii)) is a negative number and pursuant to ¶ 58 of the lotice, if a Recognized Loss Amount calculates to a negative number, that Recognized Loss Amount shall be ero. In response to the Notice of Deficient Proof of Claim Submission, the Claimant requested Court review. The documentation the Claimant submitted with the request for Court review did not reflect or support any ligible transactions beyond those contained in the original submission. Epiq contacted the Claimant and left a poicemail. The Claimant attempted to call back and left a voicemail, requesting that Epiq return the Claimant's hall. Epiq contacted the Claimant an additional three times by telephone and sent a letter via USPS mail. The claimant did not take or return Epiq's calls and the written correspondence received no response.								
		Date o		Number of Shares	Price per <u>Share</u>	Total (excluding commission)	Notice <u>Reference</u>				
		7/11/20	7/11/2016 Purchase 32 \$48.1170 \$1,539.74 ¶ 59(d)(ii)								
			Post-Class Purchase	0.2293							
		12/19/20		32.471			¶ 59(d)(ii)				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The total number of shares purchased does not precisely match the amount of the unsold holdings, but this is the transaction data that the Claimant provided and it is consistent with the documentation provided by the Claimant.

Disputed Claim No	Name of Claimant(s) (Claim Number)	Claim Determination
		Reason for Rejection: No Recognized Claim.
4	Steven J.	The Claimant purchased all shares for \$48.96 per share or less and held all shares of
	Baldwin Roth IRA	Wells Fargo common stock through December 19, 2016.
	(3226)	Detailed Explanation:
		Because all shares purchased during the Class Period were purchased for \$48.96 or less and were held as of the close of trading on December 19, 2016, the Recognized Loss Amount is \$0.00. See Notice ¶ 59. Specifically, "the purchase price minus \$48.96" (see Notice ¶ 59(d)(ii)) is a negative number and pursuant to ¶ 58 of the Notice, if a Recognized Loss Amount calculates to a negative number, that Recognized Loss Amount shall be zero. In response to the Notice of Deficient Proof of Claim Submission, the Claimant requested Court review on the basis of the stated belief that the Claimant "did indeed hold shares of Wells Fargo and Company through at least one, and in fact all of the stated alleged corrective disclosures" The response the Claimant provided did not reflect or support any additional transactions beyond those contained in the original submission. Epiq contacted the Claimant by telephone on three occasions and also sent a letter via USPS mail to further explain the reason for the rejection. The Claimant did not take or return Epiq's calls and the written correspondence received no response.

		Transactio	onal History:					
			Date of Transaction	Transaction <u>Type</u>	Number of Shares	Price per <u>Share</u>	Total (excluding commission)	Notice <u>Reference</u>
			3/27/2014	Purchase	61	\$48.96	\$2,986.56	¶ 59(d)(ii)
			9/1/2016	Purchase	0.505	\$48.83	\$24.65915	¶ 59(d)(ii)
				Post-Class Purchase	0.4748			
		-	12/19/2016	Unsold Holdings	65.8727 <sup>2</sup>			¶ 59(d)(ii)
Disputed Claim No.	Name of Claimant(s) (Claim Number)				Claim Deter	mination		
5	Ming Chang Traditional IRA (30647)	Detailed I The Proof the claims Submission failed to on the Notice request for balance dowere pure	Explanation: f of Claim Form ed transactions; on being sent, the calculate to a Rec e of Deficient Pr or Court review, iscrepancy assoc chased for \$48.96	Claimant purch ls Fargo commo and documentat and/or (2) mathe e Claimant provi cognized Claim roof of Claim So the Claimant cla iated with the Cl or less and were	ion initially sub ematically bala ded documenta according to the abmission, the arified that the laim. However, held as of the c	omitted did not note. Prior to the Court Appropriate Court Appropriate Claimant requires post-class purbecause all slose of trading	ot (1) include do the Notice of D ing the transactio oved Plan of Al uested Court rev rchase was clair hares purchased g on December 1	cumentation supporting efficient Proof of Claims. However, the Claims. However, the Claims. Together with the during the Class Period (19, 2016, the Recognizer 19, 2016, t
		were purc Loss Am	hased for \$48.96 ount is \$0.00. <i>S</i>	or less and were <i>ee</i> Notice ¶ 59.	held as of the c Specifically,	lose of trading	g on December 1 ase price <i>minus</i>	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The total number of shares purchased does not precisely match the amount of the unsold holdings, but this is the transaction data that the Claimant provided and it is consistent with the documentation provided by the Claimant.

		and also s Epiq's cal	gative number, that Recognized Loss Amount shall be zero. Epiq contacted the Claimant by telephone twice d also sent three e-mails to further explain the reason for the rejection. The Claimant did not take or return siq's calls and the written correspondence received no response.  ansactional History:									
			$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$									
			1/22/2016 12/19/2016	Purchase Unsold Holdings	72 72	\$48.915	\$3,521.88	¶ 59(d)(ii) ¶ 59(d)(ii)				
Disputed Claim No.	Name of Claimant(s) (Claim Number)		Claim Determination									
6	Geraldine F. Whittington (12270)	Reason fo	Reason for Rejection: No Recognized Claim.  The Claimant purchased all shares for \$48.96 per share or less and held all shares of Wells Fargo common stock through December 19, 2016.									
		Because a	Detailed Explanation: Because all shares purchased during the Class Period were purchased for \$48.96 or less and were held as of the close of trading on December 19, 2016, the Recognized Loss Amount is \$0.00. See Notice ¶ 59.									
		¶ 58 of the shall be zeroiew. The	lly, "the purcha e Notice, if a Rece ero. In response he documentation le transactions be	ognized Loss Arto the Notice of the Claimant s	mount calculate Deficient Proc submitted with	es to a negative of of Claim Su the request fo	e number, that R abmission, the C r Court review of	ecognized Lo laimant reque	ss Amount sted Court			

		deficiency	oiq contacted the Claimant by telephone. The Claimant requested an email detailing the explanation of the ficiency associated with the Claim. Epiq sent an email explaining the deficiency as well as two follow-up nails. The Claimant responded to the third email confirming the request for Court review.								
		Transactio	ransactional History:								
			$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$								
			4/14/2014	Purchase	2084	\$47.9999	\$100,031.79	¶ 59(d)(ii)	1		
			12/10/2016	Unsold	2004			¶ 59(d)(ii)			
		[	12/19/2016	Holdings	2084				_		
Disputed	Name of										
Claim No.	Claimant(s) (Claim		Claim Determination								
	Number)										
7	SDAS LLC South Dakota Trust Co	Reason fo	Reason for Rejection: No Recognized Claim.  The Claimant purchased all shares for \$48.96 per share or less and held all shares of Wells Fargo common stock through December 19, 2016.								
	(76804)		Explanation:								
		close of tr "the pu Notice, if zero. In re The docur eligible tr telephone and stated Allocation	Il shares purchas rading on Decementation on Decementation and Recognized Lot response to the Normanian the Claransactions beyon twice. The Claim that while he under the heart of the court review.	ber 19, 2016, the state of the state of Deficient aimant submitted those containant requested anderstands why	ne Recognized Notice ¶ 59(d) culates to a neg nt Proof of Cla ed with the rec ined in the or an email explai- the Claim fail	Loss Amount (ii) is a negative number, im Submission quest for Courriginal submissioning in detail to sto calculate (iii)	is \$0.00. See Notive number and that Recognized in, the Claimant of review did not ission. Epiq continue deficiency as to a Recognized	Totice ¶ 59. Span of the Loss Amount requested Country reflect or support tacted the Classociated with Loss under the Classociated with the Loss under the Classociated with the Loss under the Loss unde	secifically, § 58 of the nt shall be urt review. apport any aimant by the Claim the Plan of		

		Transacti	onal History:								
			Date of Transaction	Transaction <u>Type</u>	Number of <u>Shares</u>	Price per <u>Share</u>	Total (excluding commission)	Notice <u>Reference</u>			
			9/16/2016	Purchase	15,000	\$45.4856	\$682,284.00	¶ 59(d)(ii)			
			12/19/2016	Unsold Holdings	15,000			¶ 59(d)(ii)			
Disputed Claim No.	Name of Claimant(s) (Claim Number)				Claim Deter	mination					
8	Orland M. Brown (22035)	Reason fo	Reason for Rejection: No Recognized Claim.  The Claimant purchased all shares for \$48.96 per share or less and held all shares of Wells Fargo common stock through December 19, 2016.								
		The Proof to a claim purchased Loss Ame 59(d)(ii)) negative to Claim Su request for original seconfirmed matching a second that the C	ned Unsold Holding of \$48.96 or lead ount is \$0.00. Seed is a negative number, that Recommendation, the Classification, the Classification of Court review dubmission. Epique of the unsold holding the supporting design of the support design of the supporting design of the supporting design of the	ngs of 11,873.60 ss and were held a Notice ¶ 59. Spenber and pursual ognized Loss Adminant requested id not reflect or contacted the Clings of Wells Farage and the calculation on Recognized	9. Because all so as of the close cecifically, " nt to ¶ 58 of the mount shall be a Court review. Support any elimant by telepargo common so tovided and curation of the Recal Claim according the court in the cecimical control of the Recal Claim according to the claim acco	shares purchase of trading on the purchase per Notice, if a I zero. In resport The document igible transaction phone twice. In tock as of Decring the trade it cognized Claiming to the Court of the Court in the Cou	ded during the Carlo December 19, 20 price <i>minus</i> \$48. Recognized Loss nse to the Notice that the Claim ions beyond the During the first openher 19, 2016 mbalance. Epique. The Claiman rt-approved Plant and During the Claiman rt-approved Plant Pl	2016, the Recognized 96" (see Notice ¶ s Amount calculates to e of Deficient Proof of nant submitted with the se contained in the call, the Claimant was 248 shares, contacted the Claimant t understood the reason			

		Transacti	onal History:								
			Date of Transaction	Transaction Type	Number of <u>Shares</u>	Price per <u>Share</u>	Total (excluding commission)	Notice <u>Reference</u>			
			8/4/2016	Purchase	203	\$47.749	\$9,693.047	¶ 59(d)(ii)			
			8/25/2016	Purchase	45	\$48.460	\$2,180.70	¶ 59(d)(ii)			
			12/19/2016	Unsold Holdings	248			¶ 59(d)(ii)			
Disputed Claim No.	Name of Claimant(s) (Claim Number)		Claim Determination								
9	Julia Northrup Rev Living Tr U/A DTD 11/03/2014 (116)	Detailed The Proo original period and Because a close of to the first the purchase of the account the	Explanation: f of Claim Form ourchase informat d/or (2) mathema all shares purchase rading on Decem irchase price min a Recognized Lo esponse to the No mentation the Cla	and documentation for shares valued during the Caber 19, 2016, the cost Amount calculation of Deficient in ant submitted the claimed Unstantial Section 19, 2016, the claimed Unstant Se	tion submitted which were trained and the class Period were the Recognized Notice ¶ 59(d) culates to a negative Proof of Class d with the requestion of Holdings.	did not (1) consferred into the aimed and document and do	ontain document the Claimant's accumented transactor \$48.96 or less is \$0.00. See Notive number and that Recognize that Recognize the Claimant's res	tation to substantiate the account during the Class ctions.  Is and were held as of the lotice ¶ 59. Specifically, I pursuant to ¶ 58 of the ad Loss Amount shall be requested Court review. additional transfers into ponse did not reflect or			

Epiq contacted the Claimant by telephone four times and also sent an email to further explain the reason for the rejection. The Claimant did not take or return Epiq's calls and the written correspondence received no response.

## <u>Transactional History</u>:

Date of Transaction	Transaction <u>Type</u>	Type Shares		Total (excluding commission)	Notice Reference
	Beginning				
2/26/2014	Holdings	6,340			
11/25/2014	Transfer In	62			
11/20/2015	Transfer In	200			
12/1/2015	Transfer In	150			
4/1/2016	Purchase	100	\$48.00	\$4,800.00	¶ 59(d)(ii)
4/7/2016	Transfer In	126			
12/19/2016	Unsold Holdings	6,978			¶ 59(d)(ii)

	(	C. All Wo	ells Fargo Co	nmon Stock (Notice ¶		to Septembe	er 9, 2016					
Disputed Claim No.	Name of Claimant(s) (Claim Number)		Claim Determination									
10	Dennis Y. Hue and Rickie Hue	Reason fo		Recognized Clai Claimant sold ective disclosure	all eligible sh	ares of Wells	Fargo common	ı stock prior t	o the first			
	(36212)	Because a Loss Amo the Claim review did Epiq cont Claimant a	tailed Explanation: cause all shares purchased during the Class Period were "Sold before September 9, 2016, the Recognized ss Amount will be \$0.00." Notice ¶ 59(a). In response to the Notice of Deficient Proof of Claim Submission, claimant requested Court review. The documentation the Claimant submitted with the request for Court riew did not reflect or support any eligible transactions beyond those contained in the original submission. iq contacted the Claimant by telephone and email to further explain the reason for ineligibility and the aimant affirmatively maintained the request for Court review.  Sensactional History:									
			Date of Transaction	Transaction <u>Type</u>	Number of Shares	Price per <u>Share</u>	Total (excluding commission)	Notice <u>Reference</u>				
			10/14/2014	Purchase	200	\$49.00	\$ 9,800.00	¶ 59(a)				
			10/15/2014	Purchase	200	\$47.50	\$ 9,500.00	¶ 59(a)				
			10/24/2014	Sale	200	\$51.10	\$10,220.00	¶ 59(a)				
			10/31/2014	Sale	200	\$53.10	\$10,620.00	¶ 59(a)				
			12/19/2016	Unsold Holdings	0			¶ 59(a)				

	SECTION II: Claims with No Eligible Class Period Purchases of Wells Fargo Common Stock							
Disputed Claim No.	Name of Claimant(s) (Claim Number)	Claim Determination						
11	Vernon E Weiser Roth IRA (43544)	Reason for Rejection: Ineligible.  The Claimant did not purchase or otherwise acquire Wells Fargo common stock during the Class Period.  Detailed Explanation:  The Proof of Claim Form submitted by the Claimant reflects that the Claimant did not purchase Wells Fargo common stock during the Class Period, but rather held the shares at the beginning of the Class Period. The documentation submitted with the Proof of Claim Form shows a single sale of 348 shares on April 21, 2015.  Because the Proof of Claim Form does not indicate any share of Wells Fargo common stock purchased during the Class Period, the Claimant was sent a Notice of Deficient Proof of Claim Submission indicating this deficiency. See Notice ¶ 25.  In response to the Notice of Deficient Proof of Claim Submission, the Claimant requested Court review. The documentation the Claimant submitted with the request for Court review did not reflect or support any eligible transactions beyond those contained in the original submission.  Epiq contacted the Claimant by telephone three times and also sent a letter via USPS mail to further explain the reason for the rejection. The Claimant did not take or return Epiq's calls and the written correspondence received no response.						

Disputed Claim No.	Name of Claimant(s) (Claim Number)	Claim Determination				
12	Clifford Kevin White Traditional IRA (71740)	Reason for Rejection: Ineligible.  The Claimant did not purchase or otherwise acquire Wells Fargo common stock during the Class Period.  Detailed Explanation:  The Proof of Claim Form submitted reflects that the Claimant did not purchase Wells Fargo common stock, but rather held the shares at the beginning of the Class Period and made a purchase after the Class Period ended. Because the Proof of Claim Form does not indicate any shares of Wells Fargo common stock purchased during the Class Period, the Claimant was sent a Notice of Deficient Proof of Claim Submission notifying the Claimant of this deficiency. See Notice ¶ 25.  In response to the Notice of Deficient Proof of Claim Submission, the Claimant requested Court review. The documentation the Claimant submitted with the request for Court review did not reflect or support any eligible transactions beyond those contained in the original submission.  Epiq contacted the Claimant by telephone and was advised that the Claimant wished to have an opportunity to obtain documentation supporting eligible purchases during the Class Period. Epiq contacted the Claimant by telephone two additional times and via email. The Claimant did not take or return Epiq's follow-up calls and the written correspondence received no response.				

Disputed Claim No.	Name of Claimant(s) (Claim Number)	Claim Determination				
13	Donna Marie Richardson IRA (75188)	Reason for Rejection: Ineligible.  The Claimant did not purchase or otherwise acquire Wells Fargo common stock during the Class Period.  Detailed Explanation:  The Proof of Claim Form and documentation submitted reflect that the Claimant is attempting to claim for holdings in Claimant's account and does not indicate that Claimant held or purchased Wells Fargo common stock. Because the Proof of Claim Form does not indicate any share of Wells Fargo common stock purchased during the Class Period, the Claimant was sent a Notice of Deficient Proof of Claim Submission indicating this deficiency. See Notice ¶ 25.  In response to the Notice of Deficient Proof of Claim Submission, the Claimant requested Court review. The documentation the Claimant submitted with the request for Court review did not reflect or support any eligible transactions beyond those contained in the original submission.  Epiq contacted the Claimant by telephone and was advised that the Claimant believed she would be able to obtain documentation supporting eligible purchases during the Class Period. Epiq contacted the Claimant by telephone two additional times and via email. The Claimant did not take or return Epiq's follow-up calls and the written correspondence received no response.				

SECTION III: Claims That Never Cured Their Condition of Ineligibility							
A. Claimant Did Not Provide Adequate Documentation							
Disputed Claim No.	Name of Claimant(s) (Claim Number)	Claim Determination					
14	Gayane D. Antaplian (75898)	Reason for Rejection: Inadequate and Potentially Fraudulent Documentation  The Claimant failed to provide adequate supporting documentation for the transactions in Wells Fargo Common Stock during the Class Period.					
		Detailed Explanation: As part of Epiq's procedure for reviewing claims, this Claim was identified for further review for being on the "watch list". Because the supporting documentation provided with this Claim included indicia of a potentially fraudulent Claim, e.g., inconsistencies of the broker-dealer name, inconsistencies in the broker-dealer website, and typographical errors in the trade confirmation documents, no Recognized Loss was calculated for the transactions. See Notice ¶ 58. Specifically, "a 'Recognized Loss Amount' will be calculated for each purchase of Wells Fargo common stock during the Class Period that is listed on the Claim Form and for which adequate documentation is provided."					
		In response to being notified of the rejection of the Claim for providing inadequate documentation, the Claimant requested Court review on the basis of the Claimant's stated belief to "have provided Epiq all the documentation that was requested of me."					
		Epiq contacted the Claimant by letter to further explain the reason for the rejection of the Claim, including the indicia of a potentially fraudulent Claim listed above. The Claimant later contacted Epiq with a general inquiry regarding the timing of the case via e-mail, to which Epiq responded summarizing the letter that had been sent and including an additional copy of the letter. Additionally, this Claim, along with its supporting documentation, was sent to federal authorities for further investigation related to potential fraud. No additional correspondence has been received from the Claimant related to the withdrawal of the claim or request for review by the Court.					

## <u>Transactional History</u>:

Date of Transaction	Transaction Type	Number of Shares	Price per Share	Total (excluding commission)	Notice Reference
3/10/2014	Purchase	25,000	\$48.15	\$1,203,750.00	
2/17/2015	Purchase	25,000	\$55.37	\$1,384,250.00	
10/29/2015	Purchase	28,000	\$55.09	\$1,542,520.00	
9/15/2016	Sale	78,000	\$45.19	\$3,524,820.00	
12/19/2016	Unsold	0			
	Holdings				